## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

## EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)
	v.	) Case No. 21-CR-183
	ALEXANDER P. BEBRIS	) Case No. 21-CR-163
	Defendant	)
	ORDER OF DET	ENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Part I – E	digibility for Detention
	Upon the	
	<ul><li>☑ Motion of the Government attorney pursu</li><li>☐ Motion of the Government or Court's ow</li></ul>	annt to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or rn motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ntion is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact 42(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
	Part II – Findings of Fact and	l Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
□ <b>A</b> .	that no condition or combination of conditions community because the following conditions (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following conditions	U.S.C. § 3142(e) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption ons will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the have been met: following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): 8 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B)
		onment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
	☐ (c) an offense for which a maximum term Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-9	n of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled 204), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
	☐ (d) any felony if such person has been through(c) of this paragraph, or two	convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs (a) o or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses ugh (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal
	_	ne of violence but involves: of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
	(2) the defendant has previously been convident	eted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal
		ove for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while

the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and

		(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.
	В.	Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
		(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
		(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
		(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
		(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
		(5) An offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
$\boxtimes$	C.	Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
		☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.
		OR
		☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
		Part III – Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
the		After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, rt concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
		By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
	$\boxtimes$	By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In a	ıdditi	ion to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
		☐ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
		✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
		⊠ Prior criminal history
		☐ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
		☐ History of clockel or substance abuse
		<ul> <li>☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse</li> <li>☐ Lack of stable employment</li> </ul>
		☐ Lack of stable employment ☐ Lack of stable residence
		☐ Lack of stable residence ☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties
		☐ Lack of finaliciary responsible safeties ☐ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
		☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States

O 472 (Rev. 09/16) Detention Order Pending Trial	ige 3 of 3			
☐ Lack of legal status in the United States				
☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration				
☐ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered				
☐ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement				
☐ Use of alias(es) or false documents				
☐ Background information unknown or unverified				
☐ Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release				
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION				
Part IV – Directions Regarding Detention				
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences of being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultations.				
with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the				
charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appe	•			
n connection with a court proceeding.				

Date: 9/13/2021

s/ James R. Sickel
United States Magistrate Judge